FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

Preamble

Section 2108(a) of the Act provides that the State must assess the operation of the State child health plan in each fiscal year, and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the State must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children.

To assist States in complying with the statute, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), with funding from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, has coordinated an effort with States and CMS over the years to design and revise this Annual Report Template. Over time, the framework has been updated to reflect program maturation and corrected where difficulties with reporting have been identified.

The framework is designed to:

- Recognize the *diversity* of State approaches to SCHIP and allow States *flexibility* to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their SCHIP programs, AND
- Provide consistency across States in the structure, content, and format of the report, AND
- Build on data already collected by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, AND
- Enhance accessibility of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

State/Terri	tory:				NE		
				(Name of	State/Territo	ory)	
The followi 2108(a)). Signature:		oort is submitted	d in comp	liance with	n Title XXI of	the Socia	al Security Act (Section
	Richard P. Nelson, Director, HHS Finance & Support						
SCHIP Pro	ogram Name(s)		s Connec				
SCHIP Pro	SCHIP Program Type: SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Only Separate Child Health Program Only Combination of the above						
Reporting I	Period: 200	5		Note: Fed	eral Fiscal Yea	r 2004 starts	s 10/1/03 and ends 9/30/04.
Contact Pe	erson/Title:	Deb Schere	r, Progra	m Manage	er		
Address:	301 Centeni	ial Mall South	ı				
City:	Lincoln		State:	NE		Zip:	68509-5026
Phone:	402-471-012	2		_ Fax:	402-471-	9092	
Email:	deb.scherer	@hhss.ne.gov	1				
Submission	n Date: 2/2	/2006					

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1^{st} of each year) Please copy Cynthia Pernice at NASHP (cpernice@nashp.org)

SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF SCHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES

1) To provide a summary at-a-glance of your SCHIP program characteristics, please provide the following information. You are encouraged to complete this table for the different SCHIP programs within your state, e.g., if you have two types of separate child health programs within your state with different eligibility rules. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table.

	SC	CHIP Medicaid Expansion Program			Separate Child Health Program					
						From		% of FPL conception to birth		% of FPL
	From	150	% of FPL for infants	185	% of FPL	From		% of FPL for infants		% of FPL
Eligibility	From	133	% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5	185	% of FPL	From		% of FPL for 1 through 5		% of FPL
	From	100	% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16	185	% of FPL	From		% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16		% of FPL
	From	100	% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18	185	% of FPL	From		% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18		% of FPL
		No					No			
Is presumptive eligibility provided for children?		Yes, fo	w long?		Yes, for whom and how long?					
		N/A					N/A			
		No					No			
Is retroactive eligibility available?	\boxtimes	For ea	or whom and how ch eligible child for 3 months proriginal applicati	meeting the			Yes, for whom and how long?			
		N/A					N/A			
Does your State Plan							No			
contain authority to implement a waiting list?			Not applicable	е			Yes N/A			
							IN/A			
							1			
Does your program have		No					No			
a mail-in application?		Yes					Yes			
		N/A					N/A			

Can an applicant apply		No				No		
for your program over the		Yes				Yes		
phone?		N/A				N/A		
Does your program have an application on your		No				No		
website that can be printed, completed and	\boxtimes	Yes				Yes		
mailed in?		N/A				N/A		
	\boxtimes	No				No		
		Yes	- please check all that	at apply		Yes –	please check all	that apply
	Signature page must be printed and mailed in				Signature page mand mailed in	-		
Can an applicant apply for your program on-line?			Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income documentation)				Family documentation in ailed (i.e., incondocumentation)	
Tor your program on mo.			Electronic signature	is required			Electronic signatu	re is required
							No Signature is re	equired
		N/A				N/A		
D	\boxtimes	No				 1	No	
Does your program require a face-to-face								
interview during initial application	Ш	Yes					Yes	
- Сертинания — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		N/A]	N/A	
Does your program	\boxtimes		No]	No	
uninsured for a minimum	require a child to be uninsured for a minimum Yes]	Yes			
amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting	Specif	fy nui	mber of months		Specify	/ numbe	er of months	
period)?			N/A				N/A	
Does your program			NI-			1	N.	
provide period of			No			J 1	No	
continuous coverage regardless of income			Yes	ntho 6		Spaci	Yes	ntho
changes?	Expl		Specify number of morcumstances when a chi		Explai	•	ify number of monstances when a ch	
			uring the time period in t				n the time period in	

	Death; Move out of the state; Parental request to close the case; Move into an ineligible living arrangement; Turn 19 years old; or Inaccurate information at initial application.							
		N/A				N/A		
		1						
		No			No			
		Yes	T		Υe		T	
		Iment fee mount		Er	rollme amou			
Does your program	Premiu	um amount		Pre	mium a	amount		
require premiums or an	Yea	arly cap			Yearly			
enrollment fee?	If yes, b		ee structure in the box low	If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below (including premium/enrollment fee amounts and include Federal poverty levels where appropriate)				
		N/A			N/	Δ		
		IN/A			114/			
Does your program	No				No			
impose copayments or coinsurance?	☐ Yes				Yes			
Comsulance:	□ N/A	4			N/A			
	<u> </u>							
	⊠ No				No			
Does your program	☐ Ye	 S		H	Yes			
impose deductibles?	□ N/A				N/A			
	<u> </u>							
	⊠ No			ГП	No			
	☐ Ye	<u> </u>			Yes			
Does your program		ease describe	helow	If Yes		e describe	helow	
require an assets test?	11 100, pi		DOIOW	11 100	picas	- 40301150	DOIOW	
	□ N/A	A			N/A			
	<u> </u>							
	□ No				No			
	☐ No	 S		H	Yes			
Does your program		ease describe	below	If Yes		e describe	below	
require income disregards?	\$100 per Actual da	month for eac	ch employed adult; Health insurance		•			
	□ N/A			П	N/A			

		No		No
	\boxtimes	Yes, we send out form to family with their information pre-completed and		Yes, we send out form to family with their information pre-completed and
Is a preprinted renewal form sent prior to eligibility expiring?		We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed		We send out form to family with their information precompleted and ask for confirmation We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances
	П	N/A	П	have changed N/A
Comments on Responses	in T	able:		

2.	Is there an assets test for children in your Medicaid program?	Yes	\boxtimes	No	N/A
3.	Is it different from the assets test in your separate child health program?	Yes		No	N/A
4.	Are there income disregards for your Medicaid program?			No	N/A
5.	Are they different from the income disregards in your separate child health program?	Yes	\boxtimes	No	N/A
6.	Is a joint application used for your Medicaid and separate child health program?	Yes		No	N/A

Enter any Narrative text below.

7. Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during the reporting period? Please indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking appropriate column.

			Medicaid ansion S0 Program	CHIP	С	Separate hild Healt Program	h
		Yes	No Change	N/A	Yes	No Change	N/A
a)	Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)						
b)	Application						
c)	Benefit structure						
d)	Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)						
e)	Crowd out policies						
f)	Delivery system						
g)	Eligibility determination process (including implementing a waiting lists or open enrollment periods)						
h)	Eligibility levels / target population		\boxtimes				
i)	Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP		\boxtimes				
j)	Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP						
k)	Eligibility redetermination process						
l)	Enrollment process for health plan selection						
m)	Family coverage						
n)	Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)						
o)	Premium assistance						
p)	Prenatal Eligibility expansion						
q)	Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)						
	Parents						
	Pregnant women						
	Childless adults						

	a.								
	b.				\boxtimes				
	C.						T		
						<u> </u>			
8. F	or each topic you responded yes to above, please e	xplain the change and	whv the	change w	/as mad	e. belov	v:		
	Applicant and enrollee protections	T							
(e.	g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing ocess to State Law)								
b)	Application								
c)	Benefit structure								
d)	Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)								
e)	Crowd out policies								
f)	Delivery system								
g)	Eligibility determination process								
	cluding implementing a waiting lists or open enrollment periods)								
h)	Eligibility levels / target population								
i)	Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP								
j)	Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP								
k)	Eligibility redetermination process								
l)	Enrollment process for health plan selection		_		·			·	

Other – please specify

m)	Family coverage	
	, ,	
n)	Outreach	Targeted outreach in Lancaster County to minority, Limited English Speaking (LES) families, and homeless populations.
۵)	Drawium assistance	
0)	Premium assistance	
		T
p)	Prenatal Eligibility Expansion	
q)	Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)	
	Parents	
	Taronto	
	Pregnant women	
	OLUMBA A A LIKA	
	Childless adults	
r)	Other – please specify	
	a.	
	b.	
	•	
	C.	

Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three sub sections that gather information on the core performance measures for the SCHIP program as well as your State's progress toward meeting its general program strategic objectives and performance goals. Section IIA captures data on the core performance measures to the extent data are available. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your State. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your State's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF CORE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

CMS is directed to examine national performance measures by the SCHIP Final Rules of January 11, 2001. To address this SCHIP directive, and to address the need for performance measurement in Medicaid, CMS, along with other Federal and State officials, developed a core set of performance measures for Medicaid and SCHIP. The group focused on well-established measures whose results could motivate agencies, providers, and health plans to improve the quality of care delivered to enrollees. After receiving comments from Medicaid and SCHIP officials on an initial list of 19 measures, the group recommended seven core measures, including four child health measures and three adult measures:

Child Health Measures

- Well child visits in the first 15 months of life
- Well child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th years of life
- Use of appropriate medications for children with asthma
- Children's access to primary care practitioners

Adult Measures

Column 1:

- Comprehensive diabetes care (hemoglobin A1c tests)
- Adult access to preventive/ambulatory health services
- Prenatal and postpartum care (prenatal visits)

These measures are based on specifications provided by the Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set (HEDIS®). HEDIS® provides a useful framework for defining and measuring performance. However, use of HEDIS® methodology is <u>not</u> required for reporting on your measures. The HEDIS® methodology can also be modified based on the availability of data in your State.

The table should be completed as follows:

If you cannot provide a specific measure, please check the boxes that apply to your State for each performance measure, as follows:

- <u>Population not covered</u>: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure. For example, if your State does not cover adults under SCHIP, check the box indicating, "population not covered" for the three adult measures.
- <u>Data not available</u>: Check this box if data are not available for a particular measure in your State. Please provide an explanation of why the data are currently not available.
- Not able to report due to small sample size: Check this box if the sample size (i.e., denominator) for a particular measure is **less than 30**. If the sample size is less 30, your State is not required to report data on the measure. However, please indicate the exact sample size in the space provided.
- Other: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

Column 2:

For each performance measure listed in Column 1, please indicate the measurement specification (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® technical specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other source with measurement specifications unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or

HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2004).

Column 3:

For each performance measure listed in Column 1, please indicate the data source(s); the definition of the population included in the measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system); the baseline measurement and baseline year; and your current performance, including the date of the most recent data reported. For rates, please specify the numerator and denominator that were used to calculate the rates. Please also note any comments on the performance measures or progress, such as data limitations, comparisons with external benchmarks, etc. and an explanation for changes from the baseline. Note: you do not need to report data for all delivery system types. You may choose to report data for only the delivery system with the most enrollees in your program.

NOTE:

Data not available. Explain.

Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

Measure	Measurement Specification	Performance Measures and Progress
		Data Source(s):
Well child visits in the first 15		Agency Data Files
months of life	HEDIS.	
	Specify version of HEDIS used.	Definition of Population Included in
Not Reported Because:		Measure:
		CHIP eligible children 15 months of age
	HEDIS-Like.	between 10/1/04 and 9/30/05
Population not covered.	Explain how HEDIS was modified.	NOTE: Does not include CHIP eligible
	Specify version of HEDIS used.	children enrolled in managed care HMO
		plan (Share Advantage - United Health
Data not available. Explain.		Care)
	Other. Explain.	Care)
	· ·	Pacalina / Voor:
Not able to report due to small		Baseline / Year:
sample size (less than 30.)		(Specify numerator and denominator for
Specify sample size.	CMS 416 Report Format	rates)
	·	Baseline Year: ffy 2005
\bowtie		Numerator = # of screens received.
Other. <i>Explain</i> .		Denominator = expected # of screens
·		Dorform on Dronner Moore
		Performance Progress/Year:
		(Specify numerator and denominator for
Well child visits in the 1st 15		rates)
months of life		2005: Screening Ratio = 77.65%
		2894/3727
		Explanation of Progress:
		Measure met
		ivicasure met
		Other Comments on Measure:
		none
Well child visits in children the		Data Source(s):
3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th years of		Agency Data Files
life	ln	Agonoy Data i iios
	HEDIS.	
Not Reported Because:	Specify version of HEDIS used.	
Not reported because.	Specify version of MEDIS used.	
	П	
Population not covered.	HEDIS-Like.	11
		• •

Explain how HEDIS was modified. Specify version of HEDIS used.

Measure	Measurement Specification	Performance Measures and Progress
	•	Definition of Population Included in
		Measure:
		CHIP eligible children age 3, 4, 5, and 6
		between 10/1/2004 and 9/30/2005.
		Baseline / Year:
		(Specify numerator and denominator for
		rates)
		Baseline Year = ffy 2005
		Numerator = # total screens received.
		Denominator = expected # of screens.
		Performance Progress/Year:
		(Specify numerator and denominator for
		rates)
		Baseline Year: 2005
		Screening Ratio:
		3 y/o = 54.1% 568/1050
		4 y/o = 61.91% 564/911 5 y/o = 85.16% 775/910
		6 y/o = 29.0% 348/1200
		0 9/0 = 23.0 /0 340/1200
		Explanation of Progress:
		Measure met
		Other Comments on Measure:
		none
Use of appropriate medications		Data Source(s):
for children with asthma		Agency Data Files
	HEDIS.	
Not Reported Because:	Specify version of HEDIS used.	Definition of Population Included in
		Measure:
Population not covered.	│	CHIP eligible children ages 5-18 yrs who were eligible for 11 of 12 months in 2003 &
1 opulation not covered.	Explain how HEDIS was modified.	2004.
	Specify version of HEDIS used.	2004.
Data not available. Explain.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Baseline / Year:
		(Specify numerator and denominator for
	Other. <i>Explain</i> .	rates)
Not able to report due to small		Baseline Year = ffy 2005
sample size (less than 30.)		Numerator: Children who have recieved
Specify sample size.	Market I advised to the	long-term asthma medication (per HEDIS
N7	Medicaid administrative data	definition) during the calendar year 2003 or
Other Evaluin		2004. Denominator: Children diagnosed
Other. Explain.		with persistent asthma (per HEDIS definition) in 2003 & 2004.
		,
		Performance Progress/Year:
Use of appropriate medications		(Specify numerator and denominator for
for children with asthma		rates)
		CHIP rate = 78.4% 232/296
		[Medicaid rate = 73.9% 1031/1395] [National Medicaid rate for children =
		61.3% for 5-9 y/o and 61.4% for 10-17 y/o]
		1.1.2,0.13. 3 3 3,0 and 31.170 for 10 17 y/0]

Measure	Measurement Specification	Performance Measures and Progress
	·	Explanation of Progress:
		Measure met
		Other Comments on Measure:
		none
Children's access to primary		Data Source(s):
care practitioners		N/A
	HEDIS.	
Not Reported Because:	Specify version of HEDIS used.	Definition of Population Included in
		Measure:
Deputation not solvered	│	N/A
Population not covered.	Explain how HEDIS was modified.	Deceline / Veer
П	Specify version of HEDIS used.	Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> .	Speeny version of the accur	rates)
·		N/A
	Other. Explain.	
Not able to report due to small		Performance Progress/Year:
sample size (less than 30.)		(Specify numerator and denominator for
Specify sample size.	N/A	rates)
	IN/A	N/A
Other. <i>Explain</i> .		Evaluation of Brogress:
,		Explanation of Progress: N/A
		14/7
		Other Comments on Measure:
This measure is monitored as pa	art	
of Nebraska's Quality		
Assessment and Improvement Plan.		
Adult Comprehensive diabete	5	Data Source(s):
are (hemoglobin A1c tests)		N/A
	HEDIS.	
Not Reported Because:	Specify version of HEDIS used.	Definition of Population Included in
		Measure:
Population not covered.	HEDIS-Like.	N/A
opulation not covered.	Explain how HEDIS was modified.	5 " ()
	Specify version of HEDIS used.	Baseline / Year:
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> .		(Specify numerator and denominator for rates)
_		N/A
	Other. Explain.	147.
Not able to report due to small sample size (less than 30.)		Performance Progress/Year:
Specify sample size.		(Specify numerator and denominator for
Jesuin Gampio Gizo.	N/A	rates)
		N/A
Other. <i>Explain</i> .		Explanation of Progress:
		Explanation of Progress: N/A
N/A		Other Comments on Measure:
W/A		N/A
Adult access to		Data Source(s):
preventive/ambulatory health		N/A
services	HEDIS.	1975
	Specify version of HEDIS used.	1 13

HEDIS-Like.

Explain how HEDIS was modified

 \boxtimes

Population not covered

Measure	Measurement Specification	Performance Measures and Progress
Measure	Measurement Specification	Performance Measures and Progress Definition of Population Included in Measure: N/A Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) N/A Performance Progress/Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) N/A Explanation of Progress: N/A Other Comments on Measure: N/A
Adult Prenatal and postpartum		Data Source(s):
Coverage for pregnant women over age 19 through a demonstration Coverage for unborn children through the SCHIP state plan Coverage for pregnant women under age 19 through the SCHIP state plan Not Reported Because: Population not covered. Data not available. Explain. Not able to report due to small sample size (less than 30.) Specify sample size. Other. Explain. Evaluation included in Prenatal Performance Improvement	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used. HEDIS-Like. Explain how HEDIS was modified. Specify version of HEDIS used. Other. Explain. N/A	Definition of Population Included in Measure: N/A Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) N/A Performance Progress/Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) N/A Explanation of Progress: N/A
Project for managed care plan.		14

Measure	Measurement Specification	Performance Measures and Progress
		Other Comments on Measure:
		N/A

SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

1. The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in SCHIP in your State for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 in your State's 4th quarter data report (submitted in October) in the SCHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by SARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2004	FFY 2005	Percent change FFY 2004-2005
SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	44,646	44,706	0
Separate Child Health Program	0	0	

A. Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent.

N/A

2. Three-year averages in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in each state based on the Current Population Survey (CPS) are shown in the table below, along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2001-2003. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. SARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FY 2005 Annual Report Template.

	Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty		Below 200 Pe	ildren Under Age 19 rcent of Poverty as a Children Under Age 19
Period	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
1996-1998	19	5.8	3.8	1.2
1998-2000	22	6.4	4.6	1.3
2000-2002	17	4.1	3.7	0.9
2002-2004	18	4.2	3.9	0.9
Percent change 1996-1998 vs. 2002-2004	(5.3)%	NA	2.6%	NA

A.	Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the
	reliability or precision of these estimates.

N/A

3. If your State has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please report in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	
Reporting period (2 or more	
points in time)	
Methodology	
Population	
Sample sizes	
Number and/or rate for two or	
more points in time	
Statistical significance of results	

- A. Please explain why the state chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.
- B. What is the State's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? (Provide a numerical range or confidence intervals if available.)
- 4. How many children do you estimate have been enrolled in Medicaid as a result of SCHIP outreach activities and enrollment simplification? Describe the data source and method used to derive this information. (States with only a SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program should skip this question.)

SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

In the table below, summarize your State's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your SCHIP State Plan. Use additional pages as necessary. Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure. The table should be completed as follows:

Column 1: List your State's general strategic objectives for your SCHIP program and indicate if the strategic objective listed is new/revised or continuing. If you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a strategic objective or goal, please continue to list the objective/goal in the space provided below, and indicate that it has been discontinued, and provide the reason why it was discontinued. Also, if you have revised a goal, please check "new/revised" and explain how and why it was revised.

Note: States are required to report objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children. (This/these measure(s) should reflect what was reported in Section IIB, Question(s) 2 and 3. <u>Progress</u> towards reducing the number of uninsured children should be reported in this section.)

Column 2: List the performance goals for each strategic objective. Where applicable, provide the measurement specification (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® technical specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other source with measurement specifications unrelated to HEDIS®).

Column 3: For each performance goal listed in Column 1, please indicate the data source(s); the definition of the population included in the measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system); the methodology used; the baseline measurement and baseline year; and your current performance, including the date of the most recent data reported. For rates, please specify the numerator and denominator that were used to calculate the rates. Please note any comments on the performance measures or progress, such as data limitations, comparisons with external benchmarks, or the like.

(1) Strategic Objectives (specify if it is a new/revised objective or a continuing objective)	(2) Performance Goals for each Strategic Objective	(3) Performance Measures and Progress (Specify Data Sources, methodology, time period, etc.)
	the Number of Uninsured Children (Manda eflect what was reported in Section IIB, Qu	
New/revised	Goal #1: By December 31 of each calendar year, Kids Connection information will be	Data Source(s): Agency Records Definition of Population Included in Measure:
Continuing	distributed to each public school district for each enrolled student.	N/A
Discontinued		Methodology: Review agency print and mailing order forms to determine mailing was completed prior to December 31.
Explain:		Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) 1998 and on-going 2005: 326,083 students enrolled in 710 public school districts in 2004-2005
		Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) Mailing completed in October 2005
		Explanation of Progress: Measure met
		18

(1) Strategic Objectives (specify if it is a new/revised objective or a continuing objective)	(2) Performance Goals for each Strategic Objective	(3) Performance Measures and Progress (Specify Data Sources, methodology, time period, etc.)
		Other Comments on Measure:
New/revised Continuing Discontinued Explain:	Goal #2: Public Health Nurses in 88 counties will distribute Kids Connection applications and assist potentially eligible families in the application process.	Data Source(s): Agency Records Definition of Population Included in Measure: N/A Methodology: Track # of applications distributed by Public Health Nurses. Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) 1998 & on-going. 2005: 4,812 applications distributed by PHNs Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) N/A Explanation of Progress: Measure met Other Comments on Measure:
New/revised Continuing Discontinued Explain:	Goal #3: Coordinate outreach to families of targeted low-income uninsured children with Medicaid's network of contracted Public Health Nurses through quarterly meetings and training sessions. Coordinate outreach to families of targeted low-income uninsured children with Nebraska's Covering Kids & Families Grantee, Voices for Children through participation on the CK&F Coalition and Governing Board.	Data Source(s): Agency records Definition of Population Included in Measure: N/A Methodology: Review agency records for dates of meetings and trainings. Review agency records for CK&F Coalition and Governing Board meeting dates. Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) 1998 and on-going.

(1) Strategic Objectives (specify if it is a new/revised objective or a continuing objective)	(2) Performance Goals for each Strategic Objective	(3) Performance Measures and Progress (Specify Data Sources, methodology, time period, etc.)
		Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) 2005: Public Health Nurse Network Meetings & Trainings: October 12, 2004 - Grand Island October 15, 2004 - Norfolk April 7, 2005 - North Platte July 13, 2005 - Kearney Additional individual and small group training sessions were held as needed throughout the year. One-on-one meetings were held with nurses via telephone on an as- needed basis. Covering Kids & Families: Coalition/Governing Board meetings - January 18, 2005, March 14, 2005, September 14-16, 2005 (CK&F National Conference); Process Improvement Collaborative meetings - April 20, 2005, June 10, 2005, July 8, 2005, May 12-14, 2005 (PIC National Conference). Explanation of Progress: Measure met Other Comments on Measure: Final year for RWJ Covering Kids & Families Grant. Grant funding ends for Voices for Children in Spring 2006.

Objectives Related to SCHIP Er	nrollment	
(1) Strategic Objectives (specify if it is a new/revised objective or a continuing objective)	(2) Performance Goals for each Strategic Objective	(3) Performance Measures and Progress (Specify Data Sources, methodology, time period, etc.)
lew/revised Continuing Discontinued Explain:	Goal #1: Increase the # of children enrolled in the Title XXI Program.	Data Source(s): Agency eligibility data reports Definition of Population Included in Measure: Children eligible for Title XXI Methodology: Review monthly eligibility reports. Review annual eligibility reports for unduplicated count of eligibles. Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) 1998 & on-going Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) 2005: Monthly Eligibility - Point in time: Oct. 2004 = 23,035 Sept. 2005 = 23,473 + 438 increase Annual Unduplicated Count of Eligibles: FFY 2003: 45,490 FFY 2004: 44,646 FFY 2005: 44,706 Explanation of Progress: Measure met Other Comments on Measure:
□ New/revised ☑ Continuing □ Discontinued Explain:	Goal #2: Public Health Nurses will continue outreach and education to local agencies, medical, dental and vision providers, child care facilities and other community resources within their service areas to enroll children in Kids Connection.	Data Source(s): Quarterly reports Definition of Population Included in Measure: N/A Methodology: Track Public Health Nurse outreach presentations and potentially eligible contacts through quarterly reports. Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) 1998 & on-going. 2005: 3,909 information presentations 1,547 contacts with potentially eligible clients Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) Measure met Explanation of Progress:

	Other Comments on Measure:
	Other Comments on Measure.

(1) Strategic Objectives (specify if it is a new/revised objective or a continuing objective)	(2) Performance Goals for each Strategic Objective	(3) Performance Measures and Progress (Specify Data Sources, methodology, time period, etc.)
_	Goal #3:	Data Source(s):
New/revised		Definition of Population Included in Measure:
Continuing		Methodology:
Discontinued		
Explain:		Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates)
		Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates)
		Explanation of Progress:
		Other Comments on Measure:
Objectives Related to Medicaid	 Enrollment	
	Goal #1:	Data Source(s):
New/revised		Definition of Population Included in Measure:
Continuing		Methodology:
☐ ☐ Discontinued		
Explain:		Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates)
		Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates)
		Explanation of Progress:
		Other Comments on Measure:
	Goal #2:	Data Source(s):
☐ New/revised		
		Definition of Population Included in Measure:
Continuing		Methodology:
Discontinued		

Explain:

) Strategic Objectives pecify if it is a new/revised bjective or a continuing Strategic Objective bjective) (2) Performance Goals for each Strategic Objective		(3) Performance Measures and Progress (Specify Data Sources, methodology, time period, etc.)		
		Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates)		
		Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates)		
		Explanation of Progress:		
		Other Comments on Measure:		
	Goal #3:	Data Source(s):		
New/revised		Definition of Population Included in Measure:		
Continuing		Methodology:		
Discontinued		Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates)		
Explain:		Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates)		
		Explanation of Progress:		
		Other Comments on Measure:		
Objectives Related to Increasing	 g Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Ui	l nmet Need)		
	Goal #1:	Data Source(s): Agency records and Quarterly reports		
New/revised Continuing Discontinued	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used. HEDIS-Like. Explain how HEDIS was modified. Specify version of HEDIS used.	Definition of Population Included in Measure: NOTE: For Goal related to PHN finding medical homes, statistics include adults and children, Medicaid and CHIP eligible, 88 counties contracted by PHNs. Does not include clients enrolled in Nebraska Health Connection, Nebraska Medicaid's managed care program. Managed care data includes CHIP eligible children only.		
Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> .	Methodology: Review quarterly reports submitted by Public Health Nurses for # of medical, dental and visual care homes secured for Medicaid and CHIP eligible clients. Review		
	Contracted Public Health Nurses will assist Kids Connection eligible children to secure medical, dental and visual care homes.	Access Medicaid - NHC enrollment broker - auto-enroll reports for CHIP eligible children to determine # selecting PCP and # auto-assigned.		

CHIP eligible children enrolled in Nebraska Health Connection (NHC), Medicaid's managed care plan will be assigned a Primary Care Physician (PCP).

(1) Strategic Objectives (specify if it is a new/revised objective or a continuing objective)	(2) Performance Goals for each Strategic Objective	(3) Performance Measures and Progress (Specify Data Sources, methodology, time period, etc.)		
		Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) 1998 & on-going For PHNs = # of Medical, Dental and Visual Care Homes secured for CHIP/Medicaid eligible clients		
		For NHC: Numerator = mo ave # CHIP eligible children auto-assigned to PCP Denominator = # of CHIP eligible children enrolled in NHC		
		Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) 2005: Medical homes - 233 Dental homes - 811 Visual care homes - 291		
		NHC: 2004: .22% (151/68,076) 2005: .20% (137/68,404)		
		Explanation of Progress: Measure met		
		Other Comments on Measure:		
□ New/revised □ Continuing □ Discontinued Explain:	Goal #2: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used. HEDIS-Like. Explain how HEDIS was modified. Specify version of HEDIS used. Other. Explain. Contracted Public Health Nurses will work with Medicaid providers to assure access to care by providing No-show follow-up with Kids Connection clients.	Data Source(s): Quarterly reports Definition of Population Included in Measure: NOTE: statistics include adults and children, Medicaid and CHIP eligible, 88 counties contracted by PHNs. Does not include clients enrolled in Nebraska Health Connection, Nebraska Medicaid's managed care program. Methodology: Review quarterly reports for # of no-show follow-ups conducted by PHNs for medical, dental and visual care providers. Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) 1998 & on-going Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) 2005: Medical No-show follow-up - 5,921 Dental No-show follow-up - 1,918 Visual Care No-show follow-up - 259 Explanation of Progress: Measure met Other Comments on Measure:		

(1) Strategic Objectives (specify if it is a new/revised objective or a continuing objective)	(2) Performance Goals for each Strategic Objective	(3) Performance Measures and Progress (Specify Data Sources, methodology, time period, etc.)		
	Goal #3: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used. HEDIS-Like. Explain how HEDIS was modified. Specify version of HEDIS used. Other. Explain. Contracted Public Health Nurses will distribute information about the benefits of EPSDT to each family for every newly eligible Kids Connection child.	Data Source(s): Quarterly reports Definition of Population Included in Measure: Kids Connection eligible children: includes Medicaid and CHIP eligible children age 20 and under, excludes clients in Nebraska Health Connection. Methodology: Review quarterly reports submitted by PHNs. Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) 1998 & on-going Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) 2005: 21,092 newly eligible contacts made by PHNs Explanation of Progress: Measure met		
		Other Comments on Measure:		
Objectives Related to Use of Pre	ventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child	-		
New/revised Continuing Discontinued Explain:	Goal #1: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used. HEDIS-Like. Explain how HEDIS was modified. Specify version of HEDIS used. Other. Explain. EPSDT Participation - Wellness Screening Ratio for CHIP and Medicaid Children	Data Source(s): Agency data reports Definition of Population Included in Measure: CHIP and Medicaid eligible children age 20 and under Methodology: CMS 416 Report Nebraska FY 2004 Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) Numerator = # of total screens received for each age group Denominator = expected # of screens for each age group Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) Nebraska FY 2004: <1 = 94.3% 41,555/44,069 1-2 = 102.54% 38,383/37,390 3-5 = 66.72% 14,954/22380 6-9 = 41.35% 5,012/12,105 10-14 = 40.84% 11,026/26,957 15-18 = 41.83% 7,575/18,110 19-20 = 55.25% 2,027/3,669 Explanation of Progress: Measure met Other Comments on Measure:		

(1) Strategic Objectives (specify if it is a new/revised objective or a continuing objective)	(2) Performance Goals for each Strategic Objective	(3) Performance Measures and Progress (Specify Data Sources, methodology, time period, etc.)		
□ New/revised □ Continuing □ Discontinued Explain:	Goal #2: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used. HEDIS-Like. Explain how HEDIS was modified. Specify version of HEDIS used. Other. Explain. Annual EPSDT Participation Report: Preventive Dental Services	Data Source(s): Agency data reports Definition of Population Included in Measure: CHIP and Medicaid eligible children age 20 and under Methodology: Form CMS 416: Annual EPSDT Participation Report Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) Numerator = # of eligibles receiving preventive dental services in each age group Denominator = total # of individuals eligible for EPSDT in each age group Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) Nebraska FY 2004 Participant Ratio: <1 = 94.16 468/12591 1-2 = 6.03 1411/23395 3-5 = 42.25 11835/28015 6-9 = 55.78 16903/30301 10-14 = 52.52 17508/33335 15-18 = 40.97 9391/22924 19-20 = 47.12 3033/6437 Explanation of Progress: Measure met Other Comments on Measure:		
New/revised Continuing Discontinued Explain:	Goal #3: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used. HEDIS-Like. Explain how HEDIS was modified. Specify version of HEDIS used. Other. Explain.	Data Source(s): Definition of Population Included in Measure: Methodology: Baseline / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates)		
		Performance Progress / Year: (Specify numerator and denominator for rates) Explanation of Progress: Other Comments on Measure:		

2. What other strategies does your state use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? What have you found?

The United Health Plan(UHC), Share Advantage, annually reports their NCQA HEDIS measures to NHHSS Managed Care Unit. TUHC uses the current HEDIS Technical Specifications and sample as detailed in the specifications. The method UHC uses looks at both administrative data and medical records. They are however often under-reported because Medicaid children will sometimes use the Public Health Clinics and not the immunizations these children receive will not be reflected in either the claims or medical record. The rates have increased for UHC in 2003 and 2004 but need to increase further:

Year% Childhood Immunizations Combo 1* Numerator Denominator

2001	55.96%	230	411
2002	56.93%	234	411
2003	67.64%	278	411
2004	64.72%	266	411

^{*(}Combo 1 = 4 DTap, 3 Polio, 1 MMR, 3 HBV, 3 Hib)

UHC has included articles on guidelines and rates of completion in the physician's newsletters and articles in the member newsletters on the schedules for immunizations and the importance of childhood immunizations. Additionally, Nebraska Mediciad has participated in the Government Performance Results Act (GPRA) Immunization Project from 2000 to 2005, which brought attention to the immunization issue and showed that the state had approximately 60% of our two years olds fully immunized. Our enrollment broker, Access Medicaid, surveys parents to determine the level of immunizations that parents think are complete. The parents reported an immunization completion rate of 94% in the 3rd quarter of 2004/05. Access Medicaid is currently focusing on getting parental involvement by providing parents with immunization records to be completed by the child's doctor and kept by the parents. Hospitals distribute immunization information and records to parents of newborns through the state's Immunization Program.

Each quarter HHSS sends immunization information to the managed care PCCM administrator, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Nebraska (BC/BS), so they can notify providers of children needing immunizations. UHC sends monthly reminder cards to parents regarding immunizations and UHC's Healthy First Step nurse and social worker remind and educate about immunizations when they have contact with families. Post partum packets sent to the clients contain an immunization record card and education.

UHC anticipates having the Universal Tracking database up and running in 2006, which will notify the Plan of the clients attached to a specific PCP who have not received their immunizations. Another project being considered is an incentive program for new moms to encourage well checks and immunizations.

The Medicaid managed care unit annually completes a hybrid method HEDIS on diabetes. CHIP HEDIS was run for the first time on 2004 data. The 2004 HEDIS technical specifications for a hybrid model were used, where administrative claims from the HHSS MMIS system were drawn. All children under 18 with a diagnosis of diabetes were included. The results below show that CHIP and the total Medicaid 18 – 75 year old groups were both above the National HEDIS rates for H1AC screens. The number of CHIP children enrolled in managed care was too small to report separately. This indicator will continue to be a Performance Improvement Project for UHC. The results for those continuously enrolled during 2004 were:

Denominator Numerator % H1AC (With Diabetes) (Diabetes & H1AC Screen) Screen

CHIP 55 42 76.4% < 18 years 244 163 66.8% 18-75yrs 1,631 1,282 78.6% National HEDIS Medicaid (18 – 75 yrs) 73.9%

3. What strategies does your SCHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? When will data be available?

The managed care plans submit quarterly reports that detail information on customer complaints, quality issues, HEDIS reports, geo-mapping of access to care, and any quality of care, sentential events, or other issues of concern. UHC also does annual customer and physician surveys to monitor client and provider satisfaction. Access Medicaid, the enrollment broker, does customer satisfaction phone surveys for managed care enrollees. The surveys include access, quality and outcome issues. No access issues or quality issues have been reported in the past 4 quarters for medical/surgical care in the managed care arena. Quarterly reports are submitted three months after the end of the quarter. The reports are trended and are typically available within two months of receipt.

4. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your SCHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found?

N/A

5. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your SCHIP program's performance. Please list attachments here and summarize findings or list main findings.

N/A

Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

OUTREACH

1. How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period?

Outreach continues on a statewide basis through the public school districts to each student enrolled through distribution of information each school year. In addition, applications are distributed at the community level by contracted Public Health Nurses (PHNs)who assist families to establish a medical home and educate the families about the benefits of EPDST. Kids Connection applications are distributed through hospitals, physician offices, clinics, community centers and day care centers. Kids Connection staff have targeted Limited English Speaking (LES) families in community classes and families living in a community homeless shelter this year as a additional outreach strategy. HHSS staff have targeted outreach to families of preschoolers through community activities such as promotions at the city zoo. Staff provide assistance to families to complete applications at local clinics through face-to-face contact and via the toll-free telephone number.

2. What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness?

Direct distribution of applications at community events where staff can answer questions about the program and assist families to complete the application are the most effective in getting families to apply. The annual distribution of information through the public school districts is most effective in increasing the number of applications received by the Central Entry Unit (CEU).

3. Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)? Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness?

Eligibility staff have attended LES classes at a local community center and answered questions about the program and assisted families to complete applications on-site. The Kids Connection CEU employs 2 bilingual Spanish speaking eligibility workers who receive many referrals of families inquiring about HHSS services and are able to meet the needs of these families above and beyond abilities of most staff. In addition, the CEU bilingual eligibility workers assist other HHSS staff to understand the cultural differences for the growing Hispanic population in Nebraska.

Nebraska contracts with a network of Public Health Nurses (PHNs) statewide who assist rural families to complete applications and find a local medical, dental, or visual care home if necessary. This support has been very valuable at the community level in securing access to care for the rural population in Nebraska and educating families about Kids Connection and the benefits of EPSDT.

SUBSTITUTION OF COVERAGE (CROWD-OUT)

States with a separate child health program above 200 through 250% of FPL must complete question 1. All other states with trigger mechanisms should also answer this question.

1.	Does your state cover children between 200 and 250 percent of the FPL or does it identify a trigger mechanism or point at which a substitution prevention policy is instituted?
	 Yes No N/A

If yes, please identify the trigger mechanisms or point at which your substitution prevention policy is instituted.

States with separate child health programs over 250% of FPL must complete question 2. All other states with substitution prevention provisions should also answer this question.

2.	Does your state cover children above 250 percent of the FPL or does it employ substitution prevention provisions?
	 Yes No N/A
I	f yes, identify your substitution prevention provisions (waiting periods, etc.).
Al	States must complete the following 3 questions
3.	Describe how substitution of coverage is monitored and measured and the effectiveness of your policies.
	Families are asked to provide health insurance information on the Kids Connection application. In addition, Nebraska conducts a data match with major third party payers for all children enrolled in the CHIP program at the time a claim is received to determine if an open health plan or insurance policy existed at the time of CHIP eligibility determination. If a match is found and the child has health insurance in addition to CHIP, follow-up is done at the local HHS office through the caseworker to determine if the child has access to the health plan or if the plan is no longer in effect. If the child has access and the plan is in effect, the CHIP case is closed.
4.	At the time of application, what percent of applicants are found to have insurance?
	None.
5.	Describe the incidence of substitution. What percent of applicants drop group health plan coverage to enroll in SCHIP?
	Unknown.
	DINATION BETWEEN SCHIP AND MEDICAID ubsection should be completed by States with a Separate Child Health Program)
1.	Do you have the same redetermination procedures to renew eligibility for Medicaid and SCHIP (e.g., the same verification and interview requirements)? Please explain.
2.	Please explain the process that occurs when a child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to SCHIP and from SCHIP to Medicaid. Have you identified any challenges? If so, please explain.
3	Are the same delivery systems (including provider networks) used in Medicaid and SCHIP?

Please explain.

ELIGIBILITY REDETERMINATION AND RETENTION

form.

1.	What measures does your State employ to retain eligible children in SCHIP? Please check all that apply and provide descriptions as requested.
	Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers
\boxtimes	Sends renewal reminder notices to all families
	How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program? At least two
	 At least two. At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the State?) The month before the review is due the worker sends out a computer-generated review form. A
	follow-up notice is sent if the form is not completed and returned.
	Sends targeted mailings to selected populations
	 Please specify population(s) (e.g., lower income eligibility groups)
	Holds information campaigns
\boxtimes	Provides a simplified reenrollment process,
	Please describe efforts (e.g., reducing the length of the application, creating combined Medicaid/SCHIP application)
	A preprinted redetermination form is mailed to the head of household. Nebraska's CHIP and children's medical assistance program application is a one-page (front/back) form which can be completed by the family and returned to the CEU (post office box) or the local HHS office. Documentation which must be returned with the form is minimal and consists of one month's income. The form has been revised since the implementation of CHIP for clarity and completeness.
	Conducts surveys or focus groups with disenrollees to learn more about reasons for disenrollment please describe:
	Other, please explain:
2.	Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology.
	The simplified application: most applications come to our Central Entry Unit (CEU) complete with all the documentation necessary to process the application.
	The preprinted redetermination form: client and advocate feedback on the preprinted redetermination form has been positive. Nebraska does not print the client's income on the form. Clients participating in the focus group when the form was developed did not want income information preprinted on the

3.	3. Does your State generate monthly reports or conduct assessments that track the outcomes of individuals who disenroll, or do not reenroll, in SCHIP (e.g., how many obtain other public or private coverage, how many remain uninsured, how many age-out, how many move to a new geographic area)										
		Yes No N/A									
	WI	nen was t	the month	ly report o	r assessr	nent last c	onducted	?			
tab	le belov) from th	ese repor	ts and/or a	assessme						`
Tot Nu of I			n other c or e	Remain uninsur		uals Who Age-out		Move to geograp area	new	oll in SCH Other	<u>IIP</u>
<u> </u>	Onces	Num ber	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
info	ormation		data sou	rce (e.g., t	elephone	or mail su	ırvey, focu	us groups) used to (derive this	
Co	ST SH			dal.a		4 . 4	-#t		- / II		
						ent of the you found		premium	s/enrolime	ent tees or	1
	No										
	2. Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of health services in SCHIP? If so, what have you found?										
	No)									
	3. If your state has increased or decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, has the state undertaken any assessment of the impact of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and utilization of health services in SCHIP. If so, what have you found?										
	N/	Ą									
PR	EMIUM	Assist	ANCE PR	OGRAM(S	S) UNDER	SCHIP	STATE F	LAN			
1.						program f	or childre	n and/or a	dults usin	g Title XX	l funds
	under any of the following authorities? ☐ Yes, please answer questions below. ☐ No, skip to Section IV.										

Chil	Idrei	n
		Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
		Premium Assistance under the State Plan Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP)
Adu	ults	
		Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
		Premium Assistance under the State Plan (Incidentally) Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP)
2.	Pleas	se indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)
		Parents and Caretaker Relatives Childless Adults
3. I	Brief	ly describe your program (including current status, progress, difficulties, etc.)
4. \	What	t benefit package does the program use?
5. l	Does	s the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits or cost sharing?
Title	XXI	y the total number of children and adults enrolled in the premium assistance program for whom funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in premium see even if they were covered incidentally and not via the SCHIP family coverage provision).
=		Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
-		Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period
		fy the estimated amount of substitution, if any, that occurred or was prevented as a result of your assistance program. How was this measured?

8. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your premium assistance program has experienced?

9. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your premium assistance program?
10. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your premium assistance program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned.
11. Indicate the effect of your premium assistance program on access to coverage. How was this measured?
12. What do you estimate is the impact of premium assistance on enrollment and retention of children? How was this measured?
13. Identify the total state expenditures for family coverage during the reporting period. (For states offering premium assistance under a family coverage waiver only.)
Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (*Note: This reporting period =Federal Fiscal Year 2005. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.*)

COST OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN

Benefit Costs	2005	2006	2007
Insurance payments	О	0	0
Managed Care	3,247,505	3,430,000	3602000
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles	C	0	0
Fee for Service	39,360,624	41,570,000	43,648,000
Total Benefit Costs	42,608,129	45,000,000	47,250,000
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)	C	0	0
Net Benefit Costs	\$ 42,608,129	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 47,250,000

Administration Costs

Personnel	1,732,838	1,785,000	1,839,000
General Administration	0	0	0
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)	0	0	0
Claims Processing	906,908	952,000	1,000,000
Outreach/Marketing costs	0	0	0
Other General Administrative Overhead: legal services, human resources, & other administrative costs	48,294	51,000	54,000
Health Services Initiatives	0	0	0
Total Administration Costs	2,688,040	2,788,000	2,893,000
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	4,734,237	5,000,000	5,250,000

Federal Title XXI Share	32,500,001	34,302,226	35,375,887
State Share	12,796,168	13,485,774	14,767,113

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN	45,296,169	47,788,000	50,143,000

2.	What were the sources of	of non-Federal funding	used for State match	during the reporting period	? b

\bowtie	State appropriations
	County/local funds
	Employer contributions
	Foundation grants
	Private donations
	Tobacco settlement
$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Other (specify) Only state funds were used for match.

Enter any Narrative text below.

SECTION V: 1115 DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS (FINANCED BY SCHIP)

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions.

1. If you do not have a Demonstration Waiver financed with SCHIP funds skip to Section VI. If you do, please complete the following table showing whom you provide coverage to.

	SCHIP Non-HIFA Demonstration Eligibility			HIFA Waiver Demonstration Eligibility		
Children	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL
Parents	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL
Childless Adults	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL
Pregnant Women	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL

2. Identify the total number of children and adults ever enrolled (an unduplicated enrollment count) in your

Number of **children** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

SCHIP demonstration during the reporting period.

<u>-</u>	Number of parents ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration							
<u>-</u>	Number of pregnant women ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration							
-	Number of childless a	_ Number of childless adults ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration						
3.	What have you found about the impact of children?	ct of covering adu	ults on enrollme	ent, retention, a	and access to d	care		
4.	Please provide budget information in tapproved. <i>Note: This reporting period</i>							
	DJECTIONS OF DEMONSTRATION 1115 or HIFA)	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		
Benefit Co (e.g., child	sts for Demonstration Population #1 ren)							
Insurance F	Payments							
Managed c								
	r/per month rate @ # of eligibles							
Fee for Ser								
Total Bene	efit Costs for Waiver Population #1							
Benefit Co (e.g., parer	sts for Demonstration Population #2							
Insurance F	Payments							
Managed ca								
	r/per month rate @ # of eligibles							
Fee for Ser								
Total Bene	fit Costs for Waiver Population #2							
					00			

Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3 (e.g., pregnant women)			
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles			
Fee for Service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3			
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults)			
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles			
Fee for Service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3			
Total Benefit Costs			
(Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)			
Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting			
Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)			
Administration Costs			
Personnel			
General Administration			
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs			
Other (specify)			
Total Administration Costs			
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)			
Federal Title XXI Share			
State Share			
Jotate Share			
TOTAL COSTS OF DEMONSTRATION			

When was your budget last updated (please include month, day and year)?

Please provide a description of any assumptions that are included in your calculations.

Other notes relevant to the budget:

SECTION VI: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

1. For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted SCHIP.

Nebraska, like many other states, can not sustain the current growth of our Medicaid and CHIP expansion program. As a result, the Unicameral passed Legislative Bill (LB) 709 in 2005 to reform Medicaid. The goal in Nebraska is to reform Medicaid while protecting the needs of people and defining the appropriate role of government in helping to ensure access to adequate and affordable health care for all Nebraskans, within the fiscal constraints of the state's budget.

LB 709 provides for reform efforts to moderate the growth of Medicaid spending, to ensure the sustainability of the Medicaid program for needy Nebraskans, to establish priorities and ensure flexibility in the allocation of Medicaid benefits, to examine the effects of changing economics and demographics and to offer alternatives to Medicaid eligibility.

Nebraska's Legislative Session for 2006 opened on January 4th. On January 18, 2006, Senators Jensen and Erdman introduced Legislative Bill (LB) 1248. LB 1248 is the Medicaid Assitance Act. It provides a public policy statement regarding medical assistance provided on behalf of eligible low-income Nebraska residents and re-codifies Medicaid statutes.

In addition, LB 1248, gives the department authority to establish a separate children's health insurance program as allowed under Title XXI for children who have a family income equal to or greater than one hundred fifty percent, but not greater than one hundred eighty-five percent, of the federal income poverty guideline. LB 1248 was referred to the Legislative Health and Human Services Committee and is set for Legislative Hearing on February 8, 2006.

Additional information about Nebraska Medicaid Reform can be accessed at http://www.hhss.ne.gov/med/reform/

2. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced?

Access to dental care in the rural areas continues to be a challenge for CHIP and Medicaid eligible children particularly those requiring pediatric dental specialties. Medicaid staff, contracted Public Health Nurses, local office staff and HHSS staff continue to work with the Nebraska Dental Association and the Dental College to find solutions to access to care for CHIP and Medicaid eligible children.

3. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program?

The addition of a second bilingual staff person in the Central Entry Unit (CEU) has been a great benefit to families applying for Kids Connection. Our bilingual staff (2) are a great asset. They can and do advocate for Spanish speakers as well as perform eligibility for Kids Connection and assist to answer the toll-free helpline. They have developed a positive reputation throughout the Health and Human Services System as knowledgeable, friendly and helpful resources for clients and other staff.

Outreach staff have targeted Limited English Speaking (LES) families at English Second Language (ESL) classes, clinics and various cultural activities in the community.

4. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your SCHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned.

Potential changes due to Medicaid Reform. See #1.

Development of a client brochure to assist families and clients to understand the benefits of CHIP and Medicaid and appropriate use of medical care, including the client's rights and responsibilities is a goal staff have for 2006.

EPSDT staff are also working to revise and update the EPSDT Brochure.

Enter any Narrative text below.